UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

Christina Moore,

Civil Action No.

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

- against-

Chase Bank USA, National Association and Trans Union LLC,

Defendant(s).

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Christina Moore (hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, Garibian Law Offices, P.C., by way of Complaint against Defendants, Chase Bank USA, National Association ("Chase"), and Trans Union LLC ("Transunion"), alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This is an action for damages brought by an individual consumer for Defendants' violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681, et seq. (the "FCRA") and other claims related to unlawful credit reporting practices. The FCRA prohibits furnishers of credit information to falsely and inaccurately report consumers' credit information to credit reporting agencies.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, Christina Moore, is an adult citizen of the state of Wyoming domiciled in Laramie, WY.
- 3. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c) of the FCRA.
- 4. Defendant Chase is a corporation business entity organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of Delaware that furnishes consumer credit information to consumer reporting agencies. It has a principal place of business located at 201 North Walnut Street, Wilmington, DE 19801.
- 5. Defendant Transunion is a limited liability company organized and existing pursuant to the laws of the State of Delaware that engages in the business of maintaining and reporting consumer credit information. It has a principal place of business located at 555 W. Adams, Chicago, IL 60661.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because the rights and obligations of the parties in this action arise out of 15 U.S.C. § 1681 and 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, which provides that an action to enforce any liability created under 15 U.S.C. § 1681 may be brought in any appropriate United States District Court, without regard to the amount in controversy.
- 7. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in Delaware.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. Defendant Chase issued a credit card account ending in 1606 to Plaintiff. This account was routinely reported on Plaintiff's consumer credit report.
- 9. The consumer report at issue is a written communication of information concerning Plaintiff's credit worthiness, credit standing, credit capacity, character, general reputation, personal characteristics, or mode of living which is used or for the purpose of serving as a factor in establishing the consumer's eligibility for credit to be used primarily for personal, family, or household purposes as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d)(1) of the FCRA.
- 10. On or about December 30, 2016, Plaintiff and Chase entered into a settlement agreement for the above referenced account. A copy of the settlement agreement is attached herein as Exhibit A.
- 11. Pursuant to the terms of the settlement, Plaintiff was required to make one lump sum payment totaling \$1,000.00 to settle and close her Chase account.
- 12. Plaintiff, via her debt settlement representative, timely made the settlement payment. Proof of this payment is attached herein as <u>Exhibit B</u>.
- 13. However, Plaintiff's Chase account continued to be negatively reported.
- 14. In particular, on a requested credit report dated July 11, 2017, the account was reported with a status of "CHARGE OFF," a balance of \$3,391.00 and a past due balance of \$3,391.00. The relevant portion of Plaintiff's July 2017 credit report is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

- 15. The trade line was inaccurately reported; as explained above, the account was settled and paid in full, and as such, must be reported as settled with a \$0 balance.
- 16. Plaintiff notified Transunion directly of a dispute regarding the Chase account's completeness and/or accuracy. This letter and the certified mail receipts are attached hereto as Exhibit D.
- 17. Therefore, Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the derogatory information reported by Chase to the Consumer Reporting Agency, Transunion, via certified mail in accordance with 15 U.S.C. § 1681i of the FCRA.
- 18. On August 18, 2017, Plaintiff requested an updated Transunion credit report for review. The trade line for the Chase account in question remained the same as the July 2017 credit report, as Defendants failed to correct the inaccuracy. The relevant portion of the August 2017 credit report is attached hereto as E.
- 19. Upon information and belief, Transunion did not notify Chase of the dispute by Plaintiff in accordance with the FCRA.
- 20. Alternatively, if Transunion did notify Chase, Chase failed to properly investigate and delete the trade line or properly update the trade line on Plaintiff's credit reports.
- 21. If Chase did perform a reasonable investigation of Plaintiff's dispute, Plaintiff's Chase account would be updated to reflect a settled status with a \$0 balance.
- 22. Despite the fact that Chase has promised through its subscriber agreements or contracts to accurately update accounts, Chase has willfully, maliciously,

- recklessly, wantonly, and/or negligently failed to follow or adhere to this requirement as well as the requirements set forth under the FCRA, resulting in the intended consequences of this information remaining on Plaintiff's credit reports.
- 23. Defendants failed to properly maintain and failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit information and Plaintiff's credit report concerning the account in question, thus violating the FCRA. These FCRA violations occurred before, during, and after the dispute process began with Transunion.
- 24. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendants were acting by and through their agents, servants and/or employees, who were acting within the scope and course of their employment, and under the direct supervision and control of the Defendants herein.
- 25. At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of Defendants, as well as that of their agents, servants and/or employees, was malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, negligent and in wanton disregard for federal law and the rights of the Plaintiff herein.

CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 26. Plaintiff reasserts and incorporates herein by reference all facts and allegations set forth above.
- 27. Transunion is a "consumer reporting agency," as codified at 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(e).

- 28. Chase is an entity who, regularly and in the course of business, furnishes information to one or more consumer reporting agencies about its transactions or experiences with any consumer and therefore constitutes a "furnisher," as codified at 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2.
- 29. Chase is reporting inaccurate credit information concerning Plaintiff to one or more credit bureaus as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a of the FCRA.
- 30. Plaintiff notified Defendants directly of a dispute on the account's completeness and/or accuracy, as reported.
- 31. Chase failed to promptly modify the inaccurate information on Plaintiff's credit report in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).
- 32. Chase failed to update Plaintiff's credit report and/or notify the credit bureaus that the Chase account in question was disputed in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).
- 33. Defendants failed to complete an investigation of Plaintiff's written dispute and provide the results of an investigation to Plaintiff within the 30 day statutory period as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).
- 34. Transunion failed to delete information found to be inaccurate, reinserted the information without following the FCRA, or failed to properly investigate Plaintiff's disputes as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a).
- 35. Transunion failed to maintain and failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's credit report, concerning the account in question, violating 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).

- 36. As a result of the above violations of the FCRA, Plaintiff suffered actual damages in one or more of the following categories: lower credit score, lower credit rating, denial of credit, embarrassment and emotional distress caused by the inability to obtain financing for everyday expenses, rejection of credit card application, higher interest rates on loan offers that would otherwise be affordable and other damages that may be ascertained at a later date.
- 37. As a result of the above violations of the FCRA, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff for actual damages, punitive damages, statutory damages, attorney's fees and costs.
- A. **WHEREFORE**, Plaintiff demands that judgment in the sum of \$55,000.00 be entered against Defendants as follows:
 - 1. That judgment be entered against Defendants for actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n or alternatively, 15 U.S.C. § 1681o;
 - 2. That judgment be entered against Defendants for punitive damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n;
 - 3. That the Court award costs and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n or alternatively, 15 U.S.C. § 1681o; and
 - 4. That the Court grant such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

GARIBIAN LAW OFFICES, P.C.

/s/ Antranig Garibian

Antranig Garibian, Esquire (Bar No. 4962) 1010 N. Bancroft Parkway, Suite 22 Wilmington, DE 19805 (302) 722-6885 ag@garibianlaw.com Counsel for Plaintiff